

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,773	11/11/2003	Shinichi Nakamura	9319H-000587	4378
27572 7590 01/16/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				<del></del>
			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/705,773	NAKAMURA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christopher S. Kim	3752

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. No For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_ Claim(s) objected to: \_ Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: Christopher S. Kim

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3752

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment redefines the cap unit and the plurality of adhered caps.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that that the suction unit 71 is only a part of an entire apparatus by the name of the "liquid droplet ejection apparatus 1. Applicant asserts that he is only claiming the subcombination of a suction unit. Paragraph 0068 defines the liquid droplet ejection apparatus 1 to include an ejection means 2 and a maintenance means 3. Paragraph 0069 defines the ejection means 2 to include a head unit 21 having the function liquid droplet ejection heads 31. Paragraph 0075 defines the maintenance means 3 to include a suction unit 71. Paragraph 0078 defines the suction unit 71 to include a cap unit 72 having twelve caps 73 which adhere to the respective function liquid droplet ejection heads 31. Paragraph 0080 defines "each of the caps 73 includes a cap main body 81 and a cap holder 82... In an upper surface of the cap main body 81, a concave part 83 is formed, which includes each of the two arrays of ejection nozzles 39 of the function liquid droplet ejection head 31." The specification itself is contradictory. Paragraph 0068 states that the overall machine called the "liquid droplet ejection apparatus 1" is composed of two sub combinations "an ejection means 2" and "a maintenance means 3." Paragraph 0080 is contradictory in that it discloses that part of the maintenance means 3 (in particular, the cap main body 81 of the caps 73 of the suction unit 71 of the maintenance means 3) now includes part of the ejection means 2 (in particular, the function liquid droplet ejection head 31 of the head unit 21 of the ejection means 2). Therefore, according to applicant's specification, applicant's claim of "a suction unit" encompasses more than the suction unit, i.e., it includes part of the "ejection means." Therefore, the metes and bounds of the claimed invention cannot be determined.